	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability				
	09/813,912 Examin r		CZIMMEK, PERRY Art Unit	ROBERT
	LAGIIIII		Airoint	
	Judson H Jo	nes	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.  1.  This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 8/15/2003.				
2. \( \times \) The allowed claim(s) is/are \( \frac{1-14 \text{ and } 18}{18} \).				
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.				
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> </ul>				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the				
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:				
5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
(a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE				
7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.				
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted.				
(a) ⊠ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached				
1)  hereto or 2) to Paper No				
(b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed 23 December 2002, which has been approved by the Examiner.				
(c) 🔲 including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet.				
9.   DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.				
Attachment(s)				
<ul> <li>1⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>3☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>5☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No</li> <li>7☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>		2 Notice of Informa 4 Interview Summa 6 Examiner's Amer 8 Examiner's State 9 Other	ary (PTO-413), Paper ndment/Comment	No

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-14 and 18 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not disclose or teach a method of controlling a magnetostrictive actuator comprising energizing a coil, measuring the amount of flux generated in the coil and applying the measured flux value as a feedback variable to control the amount of force applied to a magnetostrictive member as recited in claim 1. Hasselmark et al. teaches measuring the flux density in a magnetostrictive rod and using that value as a feedback variable. As Applicant argued in the response of 8/15/2003, the flux density in a magnetostrictive rod is not the same thing as the amount of flux generated in a coil. If the level of flux density in a rod is equivalent to the level of flux generated in the coil, then measuring one variable would inherently produce a measure of the other variable. However, even if the size and shape of the rod is kept constant, as the rod becomes saturated a greater proportion of flux is lost in the system. Dunfield et al. teaches the connection between flux density, saturation and flux loss in column 5 line 57 to column 6 line 10. However no motivation has been found for applying the teachings of Dunfield et al. to the Hasselmark et al. device in order to produce the claimed invention.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/813,912

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Judson H Jones whose telephone number is 703-308-0115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

лнл /0/14/2043

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800 Page 3